**Response to Referee A**

Referee A provided a number of helpful, specific, comments on how to improve the paper and present ideas behind layer dependence. We have made significant revisions to our paper to address Referee A’s comments. Some additional revisions were inspired by Referee A’s comments. For example we have formalised and discussed in detail several additional of concepts and properties of layer dependence in the paper, e.g. section xx.

Our responses to specific comments from Referee A are: (numbering as per referees comments)

1. Agree with the potential confusion caused by the notation (u>a) and we have replaced it with the more standard indicator function Ia(u) notation.

As we are dealing mostly with random variables in the paper, the use of lower case is not likely to cause confusion with realisations of the random variables. In addition we have made clear if variables are random as opposed to constant.

1. Agree and we have named some of the copulas illustrated in Figure 1. Remaining copulas have been specially constructed to exhibit specific dependence structures. The main aim of Figure 1 is to illustrate how layer dependence can capture a range of dependence: the actual form of the copula used is of secondary interest.
2. Have rewritten to include Table 1 to illustrate how layer dependence changes for various copulas (independence, perfect dependence) and their transformations.
3. Have replaced the expectations with probabilities and inserted the suggested reference.
4. Now use indicator functions to express the tail concentration function and have also included the suggested reference.
5. This was a typographical error and we have removed/revised the comment.
6. Agree and have removed the expression.
7. Agree that many copulas can satisfy the same fitted layer dependence curve. Copula fitting based on layer dependence involves a detailed discussion and is best left to a possible separate paper. Hence have removed the discussion from the paper.
8. Agree and we have included a discussion in section xx.
9. Please refer to comment 8. Have removed the discussion on copula fitting based on layer dependence from this paper.
10. Please refer to comment 8. Have removed the discussion on copula fitting based on layer dependence from this paper.
11. xx.